II.2.  Front elevation, School for Winfield School District No. 41, Stutsman County, ND (47745), June 29, 1926.
11.3. Woodbury Type Plan, basement and first floor (47772), no date.
II.5. Plan Type "2-L" (47773), no date.

II.8. Front elevation, four room school for Banner School District, LaMoure County, ND (47633). May 24, 1921.
11.11. Front elevation, Store and Apartment for Mrs. Anna Schmitz, Jamestown, ND (47920 and 47934A), May 6, 1925.
11.13. Front elevation, Garage for J.E. Clabott, Jamestown, ND (47903), July 13, 1923.
11.17: Front elevation, Home Oil Company Service Station, Jamestown, ND (47525 and 67917), 1924.
11.16. South (side) elevation, Super Service Station for Sioux Oil Company, Valley City, ND (47915), July 31, 1936.
II.20. Front elevation. H.C. Flint House, Jamestown, NH (48005), 1913.
11.23. Front elevation. W.B. Benault House, Jamestown, ND (47953). June 1, 1926.
First floor plan, W.T. Martin House, Edgeley, ND (67643), 1916.
II.24. Portion, main elevation, Addition to Trinity Hospital, Jamestown, ND (47022), July 31, 1925.
II.25. Front elevation. Mandan City Hall, Mandan, ND (47819), July 19, 1928.
11.27. Side elevation, Knights of Columbus Hall, Jamestown, ND (47810), 1925 with 1926 revisions.
II.28. Front elevation, School Auditorium, Hanaaford, ND (47765), 1935.
II.29. Front elevation, Kennison Township Hall and Community Building, Nortonville, ND (47835), August 27, 1938.
II.30. Section and elevation of arch, Jamestown Municipal Auditorium (McElroy Auditorium, no Archives number), 1937.
II.31. Front elevation, School Auditorium Addition, Rogers School District No. 54, Rogers, ND (47618), 1938 and 1939.
II.32. Front elevation, Swedish Lutheran Church of Jamestown, Jamestown, ND (48013), 1926.
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<td>1.</td>
<td>Archives No. (* )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Function (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Client (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Location (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Date (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Stylistic Influence (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Special Features (#)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Primary Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Secondary Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Dimensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Shape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Structural System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Stories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Roofline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Windows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Interior: a. [ ] Yes [ ] No Hierarchy of Spaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Principal Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Designer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Record Type</td>
<td>22. Disposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] a. Complete set</td>
<td>[ ] a. Extant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] b. Elevation</td>
<td>[ ] b. Razed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] c. Floorplan</td>
<td>[ ] c. Never built</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] d. Section</td>
<td>[ ] d. Not built as drawn (#)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] e. Structural</td>
<td>[ ] e. Unable to determine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] f. Details</td>
<td>[ ] f. Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] g. Remodeling commission (#)</td>
<td>23. Significance of the Design within the Context of the Architectural Firm disposing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] h. Other (#)</td>
<td>a. Unusual functional type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Setting</td>
<td>b. Unusual style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] a. Related commission nearby (#)</td>
<td>c. Common property type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] b. Distinctive setting (#)</td>
<td>d. Early design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Alterations (#)</td>
<td>e. Late design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. [ ] Yes [ ] No Exterior</td>
<td>f. Pivotal in design evolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. [ ] Yes [ ] No Interior</td>
<td>g. Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX C

Scopes of Work

Scopes of work for later intensive level surveys of extant buildings designed by the architectural firm are part of the project. The survey of actual buildings is intended to identify those that are eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. The determination of eligibility is based on the data gathered from the archival review of the designs and presented in the context statement as well as the integrity and quality of the actual buildings as they are found in the field.

Intensive Level Survey

An intensive level survey could be organized under a number of categories:

--by place
--by building types that dominate the practice
--by technology and materials
--by time period
--combination of the above

The last named approach, a combination of factors, seems appropriate. The approach allows one to arrive at the buildings that best characterize the contribution of the particular architectural firm.

In the case of the Horton firm, the following building types merit intensive level surveying, in this order of priority:

--Representative schools from the 1910s-1920s.

School designs were the dominant building type represented in the Horton firm's body of work. As such, they merit recognition. The schools illustrate features and practices the firm used which school boards found desirable and attractive. Their large representation provides insights into the firm's success in providing durable, reasonably inexpensive, attractive, and well planned designs. A sampling of hipped roofed and flat roofed schools would illustrate an important aspect of the firm's work.

There are 59 dated school commissions in the Horton collection at the State Archives. Locations are known for the majority of them,
and most are concentrated in the southeast part of the state. It is expected that a good representative sample can be found and productively surveyed in that part of the state.

As school districts have consolidated and schools have closed in small North Dakota towns, it seems likely that many Horton schools now stand empty and therefore prey to demolition. Schools are thus in jeopardy and should be studied and surveyed before other less endangered building types are.

---Depression-era auditoriums and gymnasiums.

Auditoriums, etc. from the 1930s express a particular phase in the firm's work and embody a particular idea, the need to economize and provide work to the needy under federal projects. The auditoriums, etc. that employed laminated arches or that used rock-faced fieldstone illustrate Gilbert Horton's responses to 1930s era problems and clients. Horton received national attention for his approaches. The theme is of sufficient importance that all known examples (12 are represented in the State Archives) should be surveyed. These examples are located in the sphere of Horton influence, southeast and south central North Dakota in the general vicinity of Jamestown.

---Houses and commercial buildings (including auto-related) in Jamestown from the 1910s-1920s.

Residential and commercial work accounted for nearly half of the firm's work. During the peak period of the 1920s 46 new building projects are known, and there were 22 from before World War I. Many (21 residential and 21 commercial examples) are found in Jamestown and thus contributed substantially to the physical appearance of that community. Significant works would illustrate an important aspect of the firm's work. Because houses in a thriving community such as Jamestown somewhat less prey to demolition, the survey of Jamestown buildings is ranked last among the three. Houses and commercial buildings are of course constantly threatened with inappropriate remodeling projects.
The desire to survey and nominate properties to the National Register points out a difficulty inherent in a project of this type. The plans have been studied in great detail. But the buildings, their current degree of integrity—even whether they were ever in fact built—cannot be determined from the plans. Subsequent survey work should bear this in mind. At the time of survey, one may find that the potentially important examples are too altered or have been razed or of lesser quality than plans suggested.

The three suggested areas for intensive level survey could be combined or executed separately. Given the several unknowns, a conservative approach—beginning with just one of the three areas—seems a good course to follow.

**Work Products.**

--Completed NDCRS site forms for each building surveyed. Forms should be filled out for non-extant buildings with as much information as possible
--Report outlining the results of the intensive survey, with particular emphasis on the issue of integrity and how standards of acceptable change were applied to surveyed properties
--List of eligible properties with succinct statements of significance that relate them to the criteria outlined in the context and property type statements
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